

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,650 09/10/2003		09/10/2003	Steven P. Hergott	P06667US0-169G 1820	
34082	7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C.				PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR	
CAPITAL	SQUARE				D . DED . VIII (DED
400 LOCUST, SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES 14 50309-2350				3643	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/659,650	HERGOTT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas Price	3643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI(- Exte after if NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Au</u>	ugust 2005					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
1/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1, 5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		ologion roquiloment.					
	ion Papers						
· —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		_				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen		_					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/659,650

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duroyon (US Patent 4,437,209).

Duroyon teaches a stuffing method and apparatus which are structurally similar to the Applicant's claimed method of advancing a natural casing along the length of a hollow meat stuffing tube. More specifically, the method includes "placing a hollow natural casing 27 on the outside surface of a hollow stuffing tube", "placing a follower 72 against an upstream end of the natural casing to slide the natural casing forwardly along the stuffing tube towards a discharge end", "placing a hollow conical shaped restrictor 65 on the stuffing tube with a smaller diameter end 63 adjacent the discharge end of the stuffing tube to decrease the diameter of the natural casing as it is being slidably moved towards the discharge end of the tube" and "placing a twisting mechanism 40 in spaced relation to and located downstream from the hollow conical shaped restrictor 65".

In regards to claim 6, a longitudinally movable shaft structure(unnumbered and connected to element 116 as shown in Figures 1 and 2) is provided parallel to the stuffing tube and connected to the follower.

Art Unit: 3643

Response to Arguments

With regard to the Applicant's first argument, the reference to Duroyon teaches "decreasing the diameter of the natural casing with the side wall(unnumbered) of the conical shaped restriction 65 or holder". See Figures 2 and 3. The smaller diameter end 63 or sizing ring is an integral part of the hollow conical portion or holder 65. The inner surface of the elements 65, 63 and 64 is considered to broadly constitute the sidewall of the hollow conical restrictor. And as such, the reference to Duroyon is believed to read on the claimed invention because the side wall of the hollow conical restrictor decreases in diameter from a larger diameter end to a smaller diameter end, and as the natural casing interacts with the sidewall it will decrease in diameter.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 08-04-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3643

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Summary: Claims 1, 5 and 6 are FINALLY rejected.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3643

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 571-272-6892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30a.m. to 3:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

rtp